## Parameterization of new particle formation starting from OH + SO<sub>2</sub>

Torsten Berndt<sup>1</sup>, Frank Stratmann<sup>1</sup>, Mikko Sipilä<sup>2,4</sup>, Tuukka Petäjä<sup>2</sup>, R. Lee Mauldin III<sup>3</sup>, Markku Kulmala<sup>2</sup>, Joachim Curtius<sup>5</sup> and Jost Heintzenberg<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Leibniz-Institut für Troposphärenforschung e.V., Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany
<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014, Finland
<sup>3</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry Division, Earth and Sun Systems Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307-5000, USA
<sup>4</sup>Also at: Helsinki Institute of Physics, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014, Finland
<sup>5</sup>Institute for Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Keywords: nucleation, flow tube, sulphuric acid.

Simultaneous measurements of newly formed ultra-fine particles and  $H_2SO_4$  in the lower troposphere reveal that new particle formation is strongly connected to the occurrence of  $H_2SO_4$  with concentrations of about  $10^5 - 10^7$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> and the production rate of new particles can be described by a power law equation for  $H_2SO_4$  with an exponent in the range of 1 - 2 (Weber et al., 1996, Kulmala et al., 2006).

From our laboratory, using the reaction of OH radicals with SO<sub>2</sub> for "H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>" formation (Berndt et al., 2005), experimental evidence for the formation of new particles was found for "H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>" concentrations of ~10<sup>7</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>. Here, "H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>" stands for all products arising from the reaction of OH radicals with SO<sub>2</sub>. The analysis of integral number measurements by means of commercially available UCPCs revealed that measured slopes of log(N) vs. log(["H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>"]) were affected by the decreasing size-dependent counting efficiency of the UCPCs used for dp < 3nm leading to an overestimation of the slopes.

The aim of this study is to find out the optimal experimental conditions for the detection of the majority of nucleated particles with appropriate methods. Such data represent the basis for a reliable description of the overall process of nucleation in term of a power equation.

The nucleation experiments have been carried out in the atmospheric pressure flow-tube I/T-LFT (i.d. 8 cm; length 505 cm) at 293  $\pm$  0.5 K. For integral particle measurements a butanol-based UCPC (TSI 3025), a H<sub>2</sub>O-based UCPC (TSI 3786) as well as a pulse height condensation particle counter (PH-CPC) have been used. In order to measure particle size distributions a differential mobility particle sizer (DMPS) consisting of a Vienna-type DMA and a butanol-based UCPC (TSI 3025) came into operation.

In Figure 1 experimental data of measured particle numbers vs. ["H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>"] are depicted measured simultaneously by means of three different UCPCs (TSI 3025, TSI 3786, PH-CPC) as well as by DMPS (dp  $\ge$  3 nm). The IfT-LFT was operated with a residence time of 290 sec and r.h. = 22%.



Figure 1. Measured particle numbers as a function of "H2SO4" concentration at r.h. = 22 % for a residence time of 290 sec.

The slopes log(N) vs. log(["H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>"]) span a range of 1.58 - 2.03 (DMPS:  $1.58 \pm 0.05$ ; TSI 3786:  $1.91 \pm 0.11$ ; PH-CPC, live time:  $2.03 \pm 0.01$ ; PH-CPC, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>:  $1.70 \pm 0.06$ ).

In further experiments, for several fixed "H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>" concentrations new particle formation was investigated as a function of relative humidity (or H<sub>2</sub>O vapour concentration). Putting all measurements together, the overall best fit according to equation

N = k (["H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>"] / molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>)<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> ([H<sub>2</sub>O] / molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>)<sup> $\beta$ </sup>

yielded  $\alpha = 1.76 \pm 0.02$  and  $\beta = 1.54 \pm 0.04$ .

- Berndt, T., O. Böge, F. Stratmann, J. Heintzenberg, and M. Kulmala (2005), *Science* 307, 698-700.
- Kulmala, M., K. E. J. Lehtinen, and A. Laaksonen, (2006), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 6, 787–793.
- Weber, R. J., J. J. Marti, P. H. McMurry, F. L. Eisele, D. J. Tanner, and A. Jefferson (1996), *Chem. Eng. Comm.* 151, 53-64.