Enhanced chlorine atom activation by hydrolysis of iodine nitrates from marine aerosols at polluted coastal areas

E. H. Hoffmann¹, A. Tilgner¹, R. Wolke², H. Herrmann¹

- 1 Atmospheric Chemistry Department (ACD), Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), Leipzig, Germany
- Modeling of Atmospheric Processes Department (MAPD),
 Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), Leipzig, Germany

contact: erik.hoffmann@tropos.de



Motivation

Reactions of reactive halogen compounds are linked to different key tropospheric chemical subsystems. Halogen atoms (chlorine (CI), bromine (Br) and iodine (I) atoms) react with ozone, NO_x and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). In anthropogenic influenced coastal regions with high NO_x concentrations, halogen monoxides (CIO, BrO and IO) affect

Model description

Multiphase chemistry simulations in a polluted coastal environment are performed with the adiabatic air parcel model SPACCIM. The multiphase chemistry in SPACCIM is represented by following mechanisms:

- Gas-phase mechanism MCMv3.2 (5) together with aqueous-phase mechanism CAPRAM4.0 (6)
- The halogen module 2.1 (7), extended to treat XNO_3 hydrolysis (see Table 1)

the NO_x ratio by reacting with NO forming NO₂ and with NO₂ forming halogen nitrates (XNO₃, X = CI, Br, and I) (1).

The subsequent formation of XNO_3 at polluted coastal areas can be crucial to initialise the activation of CI atoms by formation of XCI species. The XNO_3 can be taken up into marine aerosols, where it fast hydrolyse into nitrate and a hypohalogenous acid (HOX). The HOX reacts further with chloride leading to XCI. Hence, XNO_3 formation in polluted coastal areas can have high potential to initialize a cycle of CI atom activation.

Model studies indicated, that at conditions with NO₂ concentrations above 100 ppt, the ICI photolysis dominates CI atom release over CINO₂ photolysis, due to INO₃ formation and subsequent hydrolysis in marine aerosols (2). Nevertheless, possible important effects of INO₃ hydrolysis have not yet been investigated under NO_x polluted conditions, i.e. with NO₂ concentrations above 1 ppb.

Therefore, in the current study (3), the effect of INO_3 formation on CI atom activation is investigated for an anthropogenically polluted environment under maritime influences using the air parcel model SPACCIM (4).

- Air parcel travels from the marine coastal sea over an anthropogenically polluted environment (see Fig. 1)
- Additional sensitivity studies without considered hydrolysis of XNO₃



Table 1: Implemented reaction rate constants of XNO3hydrolysis. The star comments that the INO3hydrolysis is estimated to be at least as fast asthe CINO3 hydrolysis.

	k ₂₉₈ / I mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	E _A /R / K	Reference
CINO ₃	2.8×10 ⁴	2800	(8)
BrNO ₃	1.0×10 ⁹		(9)
INO ₃ *	2.8×10 ⁴	2800	

Fig. 1: Schematic of two meteorological scenarios, (1) with cloud occurrence (blue line) and (2) without cloud occurence (red line), used in the model simulations. The model runs 72 hours at 45°N. The grey arrows represent urban emissions, which interact with the air parcel of marine origin. (3)

Model results

.

Chlorine atom activation

Chemistry of reactive iodine



Fig. 2: Modelled concentration profiles of CI atoms (left y-axis) and CINO₂ (right y-axis) in the gas

- Main reaction pathway of IO in the gas phase is reation with NO₂ into INO₃
- Around 25% and 38% of INO₃ is taken up into particles/cloud droplets, residual one is photolysed.
- Hydrolysis of INO₃ and subsequent reaction with chloride results into ICI
- One third of formed ICI interacts with bromide yielding IBr
- Evaportaion of ICI/IBr into the gas phase and further photolysis.
- Effective I atom recycling enables important CI atom activation flux.
- During cloud periods high importance of HOI for S(IV) to S(VI) oxidation
- Formed iodide reacts with HOI yielding I_2 .

$IO \stackrel{NO_2}{\longleftarrow} INO_3 \stackrel{-}{\longrightarrow} INO_3$ $H_2O \stackrel{H_2O}{\longleftarrow} IO \stackrel{hv}{\longleftarrow} IC1 \stackrel{hv}{\longleftarrow} IC1 \stackrel{-}{\longleftarrow} IC1 \stackrel{HOI}{\longleftarrow} IC1 \stackrel{HO}{\longleftarrow} IC1 \stackrel{HO}{\longrightarrow} IC1 \stackrel{HO}{\longleftarrow} IC1 \stackrel{HO}{\longrightarrow} IC1 \stackrel{HO}{\longrightarrow}$

Fig. 3: Schematic representation of most important iodine cycles under non-cloud and in-cloud conditions within the cloud scenario. (3)

Conclusion

phase for model scenarios with and without XNO₃ hydrolysis under cloud (a) and cloud-free (b) conditions. Corresponding modelled time-resolved sink and source fluxes of CI atoms under cloud (c) and the cloud-free (d) conditions are presented below. (3)

- Strong morning peak of CI atoms from CINO₂ photolysis and stronger second shoulder in CI atom concentration at afternoon from ICI photolysis
- CINO₂ photolysis dominates forenoon and ICI photolysis afternoon CI atom activation.
- Higher importance of ICI photolysis within the scenario with cloud occurrence, because of effective INO_3 uptake during formation and evaporation of the cloud
- Nighttime clouds act as CI atom source due to ETR of NO₃ with chloride.
- 15% of overall mean flux of CI atom activation results from this reaction sequence.

The numerical simulations reveal that iodine nitrate hydrolysis on sea salt aerosol particles effectively enhances the formation of CI atoms. Consequently, INO_3 hydrolysis affects the atmospheric oxidation capacity, VOC oxidation, and ozone formation potential in polluted coastal areas. The simulations imply that an advanced treatment of halogen– NO_x chemistry in both the gas and the aqueous phase is necessary to improve the accuracy of present chemical transport models dealing air quality in polluted coastal areas.

References

(1) Saiz-Lopez, A., and von Glasow, R. (2012), Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6448-6472; (2) McFiggans et al., (2002), J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4271; (3) Hoffmann et al., (2019), Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 771-778;
(4) Wolke, R.; et al. (2005) Atmos. Environ., 39, 4375-4388; (5) Saunders et al., (2002), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 161-180; (6) Bräuer (2015), PhD Thesis, University of Leipzig; (7) Hoffmann et al. (2016), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 11776-11781; (8) Shi et al. (2001), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 24259-24274; (9) Hanson et al. (1996), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 101, 9063-9069.