# Quantification of known and unknown organosulfates in PM<sub>10</sub> using LC-HRMS/MS: Contrasting summertime rural Germany and the North China Plain

## Martin Brüggemann<sup>1</sup>, Dominik van Pinxteren<sup>1</sup>, Yuchen Wang<sup>2</sup>, Jian Zhen Yu<sup>2</sup>, Hartmut Herrmann<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Atmospheric Chemistry Department (ACD), Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), Germany <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry & Division of Environment, Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, Hong Kong

contact: brueggemann@tropos.de



\*\*\*\*

\* \* \*

### (1) Summary

- Organosulfates (OS; R—OSO<sub>3</sub>H) account for a substantial fraction of ambient secondary organic aerosol (SOA), however, remain an analytical challenge due to missing authentic standards and an incomplete identification of OS species
- Here we quantified known and unknown terpenoid OS in summertime PM<sub>10</sub> from Germany (Melpitz, MEL) and the North China Plain (NCP) using liquid chromatography in combination with high-resolution Orbitrap mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS; R 70k—280k, Δm/z ≤3 ppm) in a target/non-target approach
- At each site >50 monoterpene OS (MT-OS) were detected, 13 of which were found at both sites, contributing >55% to the average MT-OS concentrations

• Main MT-OS contributor was a  $C_9H_{16}O_7S$  isomer (m/z 267.0547, [M-H]<sup>-</sup>), giving mean concentrations of 2.23 and 6.38 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for MEL and NCP, respectively

- MT-OS concentrations correlated with MT oxidation products at MEL; however, for NCP, a potential suppression of carboxylic acid formation was observed
- 40 and 17 sesquiterpene OS (SQT-OS) were identified at NCP and MEL, respectively, 5 of which were present at both sampling locations
- SQT-OS concentrations were ~5-10 times larger at NCP, suggesting insufficient particle acidity at MEL for efficient SQT-OS formation

#### (2) Overall composition of PM<sub>10</sub> samples



Fig. 1: General organic composition of the  $PM_{10}$  samples from MEL and NCP: (a) Elemental composition of all assigned MFs for MEL, (b) elemental composition of all MFs for NCP (other = compounds made of CH, CHN, or phosphorous- and halogen-containing). (c) Number of unique and common MT-OS detected at MEL and NCP, (d) number of unique and common SQT-OS observed at MEL and NCP.

### (3) Concentrations of terpenoid OS

#### diurnal MT-OS concentrations



Fig. 2: Diurnal total concentrations of all MT-OS determined in  $PM_{10}$  samples from (a) MEL and (b) NCP. For MEL, median concentrations of 12.15 and 12.42 ng m<sup>-3</sup> were observed for day and night, respectively. For NCP, concentrations were ~2-3 times larger, giving median concentrations of 38.19 and 26.49 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for day and night, respectively.

#### (4) Correlations of MT-OS and SQT-OS



- linear correlation between MT oxidation products and MT-OS for MEL only
- same source for MT oxidation products and MT-OS => biogenic
- potential suppression of carboxylic acid formation under high NO<sub>X</sub> and particulate sulfate concentrations, favoring formation of OS and other functionalized S- and N-containing compounds

a) <sup>5</sup> MEL b) <sup>25</sup> NCP

Fig. 5: Linear regression applied to the total concentrations of

#### diurnal SQT-OS concentrations



Fig. 3: Diurnal total concentrations of all SQT-OS determined in  $PM_{10}$  samples from (a) MEL and (b) NCP. For MEL, median concentrations of 12.15 and 12.42 ng m<sup>-3</sup> were observed for day and night, respectively. For NCP, concentrations were ~2-3 times larger, giving median concentrations of 38.19 and 26.49 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for day and night, respectively.

#### concentrations of common MT-OS and SQT-OS

Table 1: Overview on the 13 common MT-OS and 4 SQT-OS detected in PM10 from MEL and NCP.

ommon M	AT-OS:								
Nama	Molecular	<i>m/z</i> ([M-H] <sup>–</sup> )	RT / min	c <sub>avg</sub> / ng m⁻³		fraction of MT-OS			proposed
Name	Formula			MEL	NCP	MEL	NCP	previous studies	precursors*
231	$C_{10}H_{16}O_4S$	231.0696	9.90	0.14	0.02	0.71%	0.05%	р	-
249	$C_{10}H_{18}O_5S$	249.0806	5.90	0.59	0.01	2.98%	0.04%	a, b, c, e, f, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, s, t, u	MT <sup>[a,b,c,l,m,n]</sup>
251	$C_{10}H_{20}O_5S$	251.0961	9.63	1.20	0.18	6.03%	0.49%	m, o, q, u	alk <sup>[0]</sup>
265a	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O <sub>7</sub> S	265.0393	1.07	0.68	1.57	3.43%	4.37%	i, m, p, q	-
265b	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O <sub>6</sub> S	265.0752	1.07	0.29	0.48	1.47%	1.33%	l, m, o, q	MT <sup>[l,m]</sup> , alk <sup>[o]</sup>
267	$C_9H_{16}O_7S$	267.0547	1.07	2.23	6.38	11.18%	17.78%	b, c, i, j, k, m, o, p, q, s, u	MT <sup>[b,c,m]</sup> , alk <sup>[o]</sup>
279	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>7</sub> S	279.0542	1.07	1.58	1.60	7.92%	4.45%	b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j, k, l, m, n, o, p, q, s, u	MT <sup>[b,c,m,n]</sup> , alk <sup>[0]</sup>
281	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O <sub>8</sub> S	281.0338	1.07	1.79	1.93	8.99%	5.38%	i, m, n, p, q, s	isop <sup>[m]</sup> , MT <sup>[n]</sup>
283	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>7</sub> S	283.0855	1.07	0.27	0.68	1.37%	1.89%	l, q, r, u	diesel <sup>[r]</sup>
295	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>8</sub> S	295.0490	1.07	1.03	1.53	5.17%	4.27%	m, o, u	alk <sup>[0]</sup>
297a	$C_9H_{14}O_9S$	297.0284	1.07	0.99	1.72	4.98%	4.80%	m, n, q, s	isop <sup>[m]</sup> , MT <sup>[n]</sup>
297b	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O <sub>8</sub> S	297.0648	1.07	0.85	1.55	4.26%	4.32%	b, n, o, q, s, u	MT <sup>[b,n]</sup> , alk <sup>[o]</sup>
309a	$C_{10}H_{14}O_9S$	309.0286	1.07	0.30	0.54	1.53%	1.49%	q	-
			total:	11.94	18.19	60.02%	50.68%		
ommon S	QT-OS:								
Name	Molecular	<i>m/z</i> ([M-H] <sup>−</sup> )	RT / min	c <sub>avg</sub> / ng m⁻³		fraction of SQT-OS		previous studies	proposed
	Formula			MEL	NCP	MEL	NCP	previous studies	precursors*
293	$C_{14}H_{30}O_4S$	293.1793	12.31	0.007	0.011	0.89%	0.22%	m, q	-
309b	$C_{14}H_{30}O_5S$	309.1736	14.98	0.346	≤0.004‡	44.01%	-	m, q, r	diesel
315	$C_{15}H_{24}O_5S$	315.1271	10.28	0.005	0.035	0.60%	0.68%	-	-
317	$C_{15}H_{26}O_5S$	317.1428	10.45	≤0.004‡	0.013	-	0.25%	v	β-сагуор
323	C14H28O6S	323.1531	10.83	0.051	0.255	6.53%	5.01%	m, q	-
			total:	0.409	0.314	52.03%	6.16%		



- linear correlation between SQT-OS and MT-OS concentrations for NCP only same source for MT-OS and SQT-OS => biogenic
- insufficient particle acidity at MEL (i.e., median neutralization ratio ~1) hampers SQT-OS formation (Chan et al., ACP 2011)
- particle acidity sufficiently large at NCP for SQT-OS formation (i.e., median neutralization ratio ~0.7)

#### alkane (alk); isoprene (isop); monoterpenes (MT), β-caryophyllene (β-caryop)

Iimit of quantification for SQT-OS

(a) linuma et al., 2007, (b) Surratt et al., 2007, (c) Surratt et al., 2008, (d) Altieri et al., 2009, (e) Kristensen and Glasius, 2011, (f) Yttri et al., 2011, (g) LeClair et al., 2012, (h) Lin et al., 2012, (i) Nguyen et al., 2012, (j) Stone et al., 2012, (k) Lin et al., 2013, (l) Ma et al., 2014, (m) Tao et al., 2014, (n) Mutzel et al., 2015, (o) Riva et al., 2016a, (p) Vogel et al., 2016, (q) Wang et al., 2016, (r) Blair et al., 2017, (s) Brüggemann et al., 2017, (t) Wang et al., 2017a, (u) Wang et al., 2018, (v) Chan et al., 2011