# Relating particle hygroscopicity and CCN activity to chemical composition during HCCT-2010 field campaign 
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## 2. Methodology

The three ways to calculate particle hygroscopicity parameters
(1) $\kappa_{H T D M A}=\left(H G F^{3}-1\right)\left(\frac{\exp \left(\frac{A}{D_{d} \cdot H G F}\right)}{R H}-1\right)$ HTDMA measurements
(2) $\kappa_{C C N}=\frac{4 A^{3}}{27 D_{d}^{3} n^{2} S_{c}} \quad$ CCN measurements
(3) $\kappa_{\text {chem }}=\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \kappa_{i} \quad$ AMS and MAAP measurements

| Species | $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}$ | $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Organics | Black carbon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Density $\left[\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$ | 1720 | 1830 | 1780 | 1769 | 1400 | 1700 |
| kappa | 0.58 | 0.89 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.0 |

Ref.1: Petters, M. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1961-1971, 10.5194/acp-7-1961-2007, 2007.

## 3. Particle hygroscopicity and CCN activity



Fig.1: Size-dependency of particle hygroscopicity ( $\kappa_{\text {нTDMA }}$ ), number fraction of hydrophilic mode (F2), and mass fraction of key components derived from AMS measurements averaging over the entire sampling period.


Fig.2: Critical diameters at different supersaturation. $\kappa_{\mathrm{CCN}}$ is derived from equation [2] The data are the mean values averaging over the entire field campaign.


Fig.3: Comparison of $\kappa_{\text {HTDMA }}$ (dry particle diameter $=200 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $\mathrm{RH}=90 \%$ ), $\kappa_{\mathrm{CCN}}$ (critical diameter $=200 \pm 10 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and $\kappa_{\text {chem }}$ (bulk chemical composition).


Fig.4: Comparison of $\kappa_{\text {HTDMA }}$ (dry diameter=250 nm at $90 \%$ ) and $\kappa_{\text {chem }}$ (bulk chemical composition). $\kappa_{\text {chem }}=0.991^{*} \kappa_{\text {HTDMA }}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.74$


Fig.5: Relationship between organic volume fraction and $\kappa_{\text {HTDMA. }}$


Fig.6: Oxidation level vs. кorg (dry diameter $=250$ nm ). кorg of organic fraction is estimated using equation [3]: $\quad \kappa_{\text {org }}=\left(\kappa_{\text {HTDMA }}-\sum \varepsilon_{j} \kappa_{j}\right) / \varepsilon_{\text {org }}$
5. Summary (1) Consistency between $\kappa_{C C N}$ and $\kappa_{H T D M A}(200 \mathrm{~nm})$ is not obtained due to in part a change solution non-ideality, and surface tension effects.
(2) $\kappa_{\text {HTDMA }}(250 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $90 \%$ ) can be well predicted by bulk chemical composition derived from AMS and black carbon measured by MAAP.
(3) к НтдмА and oxidation level (O/C) are positively correlated.

